

Article

Full-text available

On the Two-Fold Maximal Units in Some Two-Fold Finite Neutrosophic Rings Modulo Integers for $\leq \leq$

Jan 2025
DOI: [10.54216/IJNS.250213](#)

Isra Al-Shbeil · Ibraheem Abu Falahah · Talat Al-Khouli · [Show all 7 authors](#) · Abdallah Husban

Research Interest Score	3.9
Citations	2
Recommendations	1
Reads ⓘ	58

[Learn about stats on ResearchGate](#)

DOI

Research SpotlightBeta

Want to get 4x more reads of your article?
Showcase your recent work in a Spotlight to get **4x more reads** on average. [Learn more](#)

Create Spotlight

Abstract

In this paper, we have defined the concept of twofold maximal units in finite twofold neutrosophic rings modulo integers, where a sufficient and necessary condition for such class of generalized units will be provided. We characterize all maximal units in the following twofold neutrosophic rings (I) for $\in \{2,3,4,5\}$.

Public Full-texts2

Private Full-text1

On the Two-Fold Maximal Units in S... te.pdf

Content uploaded by [Talat Al-Khouli](#) · Author content
Content may be subject to copyright.

Page 1

Share

More



On the Two-Fold Maximal Units in Some Two-Fold Finite Neutrosophic Rings Modulo Integers for $2 \leq n \leq 5$

Isra Al-Shbeil^{1,*}, Ibraheem Abu Falahah², Talat Alkhoul³, Ahmed Soiman Rashed Alhawiti⁴, Jenan Shtayat⁵, Abdallah Al-Husban^{6,7}

¹Department of Mathematics, faculty of science, University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, The Hashemite University, P.O. Box 330127, Zarqa, 13133, Jordan

³Applied Science Department, Aqaba University College, Balqa Applied University, Jordan

⁴Department of General Studies, College Of Technology in Haql, Tabuk, Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia

⁵Department of mathematics, Yarmouk University, Irbid 21163, Jordan

⁶Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Irbid National University, P.O. Box: 2600 Irbid, Jordan

⁷Jadara Research center, Jadara University, Irbid 21110, Jordan

Emails:

Abstract

In this paper, we have defined the concept of two-fold maximal units in finite two-fold neutrosophic rings modulo integers, where a sufficient and necessary condition for such class of generalized units will be provided. We characterize all maximal units in the following two-fold neutrosophic rings $(Z_n(I))_{f_I}$ for $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

Keywords: Two-fold algebra; Finite neutrosophic ring; Modulo integer ring; minimal unit

1. Introduction

The question of determining the units in an algebraic ring has attracted the attention of many researchers, specifically in some modern rings such as neutrosophic rings, and n-cyclic refined neutrosophic rings [6-8], where we find a classification of the group of units in several special solutions, and also tables that calculate the value of these units and determine their exact number [9-20].

A unit in a ring R is the concept of being an invertible element concerning multiplication operation, which make a group together. Two-fold neutrosophic algebras are new algebraic structures presented by Smarandache [1] by combining neutrosophic values of truth, falsity, and indeterminacy with classical algebraic sets. Many authors to generalize other famous algebraic structures such as two-fold fuzzy number theoretical systems [2-3], two-fold modules and spaces [4], and two-fold fuzzy rings [5] used these ideas.

In this work, we define the maximal two-fold neutrosophic finite ring modulo integers, and we determine all maximal units in these rings for the special values of n between 2 and 5. We have also classified all the units that have been calculated in tables showing their values as well as their number.

2. Main Discussion

Definition 2.1:

Let $Z_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ be the ring of integers modulo n , and $Z_n(I) = \{a + bI; I^2 = I, a, b \in Z_n\}$ be the corresponding neutrosophic ring. Assume that $f: Z_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a fuzzy mapping with

155

DOI:

Received: February 11, 2024 Revised: April 29, 2024 Accepted: August 02, 2024

Share

More

$\begin{cases} f(0) = 0 \\ f(1) = 1 \end{cases}$; $f_I: Z_n(I) \rightarrow [0,1]$ be the mapping defined as follows:

$$f_I(a + bI) = \max(f(a), f(b)).$$

The maximal two-fold neutrosophic ring $(Z_n(I))_{f_I}$ as follows:

$$(Z_n(I))_{f_I} = \{(a + bI)_{f_I(c+dI)} ; a + bI, c + dI \in Z_n(I)\}.$$

Example 2.1:

Consider $(Z_5 = \{0,1,2,3,4\}, +, \cdot)$ the ring of integers modulo 5, take $f: Z_5 \rightarrow [0,1]$;

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; x = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & ; x \in \{2,3\} \\ \frac{1}{4} & ; x = 4 \\ 0 & ; x = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$Z_5(I) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, I, 2I, 3I, 4I, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, 1 + 3I, 1 + 4I, 2 + I, 2 + 2I, 2 + 3I, 2 + 4I, 3 + I, 3 + 2I, 3 + 3I, 3 + 4I, 4 + I, 4 + 2I, 4 + 3I, 4 + 4I\}.$$

$$f_I(3 + 4I) = \max(f(3), f(4)) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(1 + 2I) = \max(f(1), f(2)) = 1. \text{ By a similar approach, we can see:}$$

$$f_I(1 + 4I) = 1, f_I(2 + 4I) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(0) = 0, f_I(I) = 1, f_I(2I) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(3I) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(4I) = \frac{1}{4}, f_I(1 + 3I) = 1,$$

$$f_I(2 + I) = 1, f_I(3 + I) = 1, f_I(2) = f_I(3) = 1 \setminus 2, \text{ and so on.}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } (Z_5(I))_{f_I} = \{(a + bI)_0, (a + bI)_1, (a + bI)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (a + bI)_{\frac{1}{4}}; \text{ for all } a, b \in Z_5\}$$

Definition 2.2

Let $(Z_n(I))_{f_I}$ be the maximal two-fold neutrosophic ring, then $(a + bI)_{f_I(m+nI)}$ is called a maximal unit if and only if there exists:

$$(c + dI)_{f_I(t+kI)} \in (Z_n(I))_{f_I} \text{ such that:}$$

$$(a + bI)_{f_I(m+nI)} \circ (c + dI)_{f_I(t+kI)} = 1_1.$$

Remark 2.1

The operation $(\circ): Z_n(I) \times Z_n(I) \rightarrow Z_n(I)$ is defined as:

$$(a + bI)_{f_I(m+nI)} \circ (c + dI)_{f_I(t+kI)} = (ac + (ad + bc + bd)I)_{f_I(mt+(mk+nt+nk)I)}$$

Example 2.2

$$\text{Consider } Z_3 = \{0,1,2\}, Z_3(I) = \{0,1,2, I, 2I, 1 + I, 1 + 2I, 2 + I, 2 + 2I\},$$

$$\text{Consider } f: Z_3 \rightarrow [0,1]; \begin{cases} f(0) = 0 \\ f(1) = 1 \\ f(2) = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then: } f_I: Z_3(I) \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ such that:}$$

$$f_I(0) = 0, f_I(1) = 1, f_I(2) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(I) = 1, f_I(2I) = \frac{1}{2}, f_I(1 + I) = 1, f_I(1 + 2I) = 1, f_I(2 + I) = 1, f_I(2 + 2I) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$\text{So that } (Z_3(I))_{f_I} = \{0_0, 0_{\frac{1}{2}}, 0_1, 1_0, 1_{\frac{1}{2}}, 1_1, I_0, I_{\frac{1}{2}}, I_1, 2_0, 2_{\frac{1}{2}}, 2_1, (2I)_0, (2I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (2I)_1, (1 + I)_0, (1 + I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (1 + I)_1, (1 + 2I)_0, (1 + 2I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (1 + 2I)_1, (2 + I)_0, (2 + I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (2 + I)_1, (2 + 2I)_0, (2 + 2I)_{\frac{1}{2}}, (2 + 2I)_1\}.$$

156

DOI:

Received: February 11, 2024 Revised: April 29, 2024 Accepted: August 02, 2024

Share More 

About us

Blog

Careers

Resources

Help Center

Contact us

Business Solutions

Marketing Solutions

Scientific Recruitment

Publisher Solutions



[Terms](#) [Privacy](#) [Copyright](#) [Imprint](#)

R[®] © 2008 - 2024 ResearchGate GmbH. All rights reserved.