

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383342984>

On the Numerical Solutions for Some Neutrosophic Singular Boundary Value Problems by Using (LPM) Polynomials

Article in International Journal of Neutrosophic Science · January 2025

DOI: 10.54216/IJNS.250217

CITATIONS

4

4 authors:



Ahmad Abubaker

Arab Open University - Saudi Arabia

19 PUBLICATIONS 45 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Khaled Matarneh

Arab Open University - Saudi Arabia

21 PUBLICATIONS 42 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

READS

77



Raed Hatamleh

Jadara University

64 PUBLICATIONS 331 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Abdallah Husban

Irbid National University

60 PUBLICATIONS 326 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



On the Numerical Solutions for Some Neutrosophic Singular Boundary Value Problems by Using (LPM) Polynomials

Ahmad A. Abubaker^{1,*}, Raed Hatamleh², Khaled Matarneh¹, Abdallah Al-Husban^{3,4}

¹Faculty of Computer Studies, Arab Open University, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Information Technology, Jadara University, P.O. Box 733, Irbid 21110, Jordan

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Irbid National University, P.O. Box: 2600 Irbid, Jordan

⁴Jadara Research Center, Jadara University, Irbid 21110, Jordan

Emails: a.abubaker@arabou.edu.sa; raed@jadara.edu.jo; k.matarneh@arabou.edu.sa; dralhosban@inu.edu.jo

Abstract

The main goal of this work is to study the effect of applying Lagrange's polynomials on finding the numerical solutions of many different neutrosophic boundary value problems, where we use those polynomials to solve three different neutrosophic boundary value problems numerically, and we present many numerical tables to compare the accuracy of the solutions obtained by Lagrange's polynomials with other famous methods such as Adomian's method.

Keywords: Lagrange's polynomials; Chebyshev's polynomials; Numerical solutions; Boundary value problem

1. Introduction and basic methods

Many of the natural phenomena that we encounter in our lives can be modeled by questions of anomalous limit values, for example, oxygen metabolism in the cell, the growth of some tumors, and many researchers have worked to solve these questions by either finding numerical or analytical solutions [1-8,13-29].

Kumar in [9,10] proposed several methods for solving problems of anomalous limit values, where he applied the three-point finite difference method based on a regular grid for (SBVPs), [11].

Benko in 2008 applied the "Euler" regression method for numerical approximations of solutions of anomalous differential equations of the second order, as well as "Kanth and Reddy" [12] in 2004, he applied the method of finite differences on (SBVPs) of the order fourth, the researchers "Ghaznavi & Noori skandari" [13] in 2018 deliberately solved the problem of anomalous limit values of the second order using LaGrange interpolation in the contract (CGL) "Chebyshev-Gauss-lotto".

We show the technique of the method by the following example:

$$\begin{cases} y^{(m)} + \frac{\alpha}{t} y^{(m-1)} = f(t, y) & ; t \in [0,1] \\ y(0) = \gamma_0 \dots y^{(m-2)}(0) = \gamma_{m-2}, \quad y'(1) = \omega_0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{cases} ty^{(m)} + \alpha y^{(m-1)} = tf(t, y) & ; t \in [0,1] \\ y(0) = \gamma_0 \dots y^{(m-2)}(0) = \gamma_{m-2}, \quad y'(1) = \omega_0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

It is possible to turn the previous problem into a non-linear programming problem in which the target follower consists of the sum of the squares of the differences of the approximate solution at the values of the boundary or elementary conditions, that is, the target follower is written as:

$$\text{Min } J = (y(0) - \gamma_0)^2 + (y'(0) - \gamma_1)^{(2)} + (y'(1) - \omega_0)^2.$$

Under the conditions:

$$ty^{(m)} + \alpha y^{(m-1)} = tf(t, y),$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{Min } J = (y(0) - \gamma_0)^2 + (y'(0) - \gamma_1)^2 + \dots + (y'(1) - \omega_0)^2 \\ \text{subject to } ty^{(m)} + \alpha y^{(m-1)} = tf(t, y). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

To turn the previous continuous examples problem into a discontinuous examples problem we will take advantage of the Chebyshev-Gauss-lapoto contract (CGL) where these contracts are compensated in the constraints of the problem (4) and these contracts are given by the relation:

$$\tau_k = \text{Cos}\left(\frac{N-k}{N} \cdot \pi\right); \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (5)$$

To take advantage of these nodes, the domain of the converter must be changed from $[0, 1]$ to the domain $[-1, 1]$ by performing the conversion $t = 1/2(\tau + 1)$:

Thus, the continuation and its derivatives are written in the new Transform notation in the f

$$\begin{cases} Y(\tau) = y\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) = y(t) \quad ; t \in [0, 1], \tau \in [-1, 1] \\ Y^{(m-1)}(\tau) = y^{(m-1)}\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right), \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$L_k(\tau) = \frac{2}{N \cdot \mu_k} \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{1}{\mu_j} T_j(\tau_k) \cdot T_j(\tau); \quad \begin{cases} k, j = 0, \dots, N \\ \tau \in [-1, 1] \\ \mu_0 = \mu_N = 2 \\ \mu_k = 1; i = 1, \dots, N-1 \end{cases}, \quad (7)$$

$$T_j(\tau) = \text{Cos}(j \text{Cos}^{-1}(\tau)); \quad j = 0, \dots, N. \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{cases} Y(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau) \\ Y^{(m-1)}(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

So that, we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } J = (y(0) - \gamma_0)^2 + (y'(0) - \gamma_1)^2 + \dots + (y'(1) - \omega_0)^2, \\ \text{subject to } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{4}(\tau + 1) \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau) \right)^{(m)} \\ + \alpha \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau) \right) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau + 1)f(t, y) \\ \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau) \right)^{m-1} = \sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau) ; \quad k, i = 0, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

By directly applying of the nodes, we get:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } J = (y(0) - \gamma_0)^2 + (y'(0) - \gamma_1)^2 + \dots + (y'(1) - \omega_0)^2, \\ \text{subject to } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{4}(\tau_k + 1) \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^{(m)} + \alpha \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau_k) \right) \\ - \frac{1}{2}(\tau + 1)f\left(\tau, \sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k)\right) = 0 \\ \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^{(m-1)} - b_k = 0 \quad ; \quad k, i = 0, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \quad (11)$$

2. Applications to neutrosophic boundary value problems:

Application (1):

Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$y^{(3)} + \frac{2}{t+sI} y^{(2)} = y(t+sI) + 7(t+sI)^2 \cdot e^{t+sI} + 6t \cdot e^{t+sI} - 6 \cdot e^{t+sI}, \quad t \in [0,1]$$

$y(0) = y'(0) = 0$, $y'(1) = e$, the exact solution is $y(t) = t^3 \cdot e^t$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } J = (y(0))^2 + ((y^{(1)}(0))^2 + (y^{(1)}(1) - e)^2 \\ (t+sI) \cdot y^{(3)} + 2y^{(2)} = (t+sI) \cdot y(t+sI) + 7(t+sI)^3 \cdot e^{t+sI} + 6t \cdot e^{t+sI} - 6 \cdot e^{t+sI} \end{array} \right. \quad (12)$$

$$\tau[0] = -1$$

$$\tau[1] = -0.9510565$$

$$\tau[2] = -0.8090169$$

$$\tau[3] = -0.5877852$$

$$\tau[4] = -0.3090169$$

$$\tau[5] = 0$$

$$\tau[6] = 0.30901699$$

$$\tau[7] = 0.58778525$$

$$\tau[8] = 0.80901699$$

$$\tau[9] = 0.95105651$$

$$\tau[10] = 1.$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\begin{cases} Y(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau), \\ Y^{(1)}(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau), \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } J = (a_0 - \gamma_0)^2 + (a_1 - \gamma_1)^{(2)} + (\omega_N - e)^2 \\ \text{subject to } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{8}(\tau_k + 1) \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^{(2)} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^{(1)} \\ - \sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) + \frac{7}{8}(\tau_k + 1)^3 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}(\tau_k+1)} \\ + \frac{6}{4}(\tau_k + 1)^2 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}(\tau_k+1)} - 3(\tau_k + 1)e^{\frac{1}{2}(\tau_k+1)} = 0 \\ \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^{(1)} - b_k = 0. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \quad (13)$$

To solve the problem, we take the Lagrange's function:

$$L(a_i, b_i) = J - \sum \delta_i \times m_i.$$

Then by deriving this dependent for the transformations and making the derivatives null, we get a set of equations, solving them using the Maple software package we get the solutions shown in the following table:

Table 1: The solutions using the Maple software package (a)

τ_k	Exact	Approx.(LP)	Error(LPM)	DTM	Error(DTM)
0	0	0	0	0	0
0.1	0.001105170918	0.001105170771	1.47×10^{-10}	0.001105173672	2.754×10^{-9}
0.2	0.00977122206	0.0097712317	9.46×10^{-9}	0.00977126613	4.4×10^{-8}
0.3	0.03644618780	0.03644618755	2.5×10^{-10}	0.03644641087	2.23×10^{-7}
0.4	0.09547678064	0.09547677884	1.8×10^{-9}	0.09547748493	7.04×10^{-7}
0.5	0.20609158837	0.20609158527	3.1×10^{-9}	0.20609158657	1.8×10^{-9}
0.6	0.39357766088	0.39357765199	8.8×10^{-9}	0.39358114034	3.47×10^{-6}
0.7	0.6901717866	0.69071716696	1.17×10^{-8}	0.69072328032	6.101×10^{-6}
0.8	1.13947695538	1.78043274181	9.9×10^{-9}	1.13948595614	9×10^{-6}
0.9	1.79305066803	1.79305066781	2.2×10^{-10}	1.79306023923	9.57×10^{-6}
1	2.7182818284591	2.71828182832	1.3×10^{-10}	2.71828182771	7×10^{-10}

Table 2: The solutions using the Maple software package (b)

τ_k	Exact	Approx.(LPM)	Error(LPM)	ADM	Error(ADM) in[10]
0	0	0	0	0	0
0.1	0.001105170918	0.001105170771	1.47×10^{-10}	0.00110498291	1.879×10^{-7}
0.2	0.00977122206	0.0097712317	9.46×10^{-9}	0.00976821400	3.008×10^{-6}
0.3	0.03644618780	0.03644618755	2.5×10^{-10}	0.03643095870	1.522×10^{-5}
0.4	0.09547678064	0.09547677884	1.8×10^{-9}	0.09542864355	4.813×10^{-5}
0.5	0.20609158837	0.20609158527	3.1×10^{-9}	0.20609278689	1.158×10^{-6}
0.6	0.39357766088	0.39357765199	8.8×10^{-9}	0.39333377246	2.438×10^{-4}
0.7	0.6901717866	0.69071716696	1.17×10^{-8}	0.69026481777	4.523×10^{-4}
0.8	1.13947695538	1.78043274181	9.9×10^{-9}	1.13870338730	1.244×10^{-6}
0.9	1.79305066803	1.79305066781	2.2×10^{-10}	1.79180568789	7.735×10^{-4}
1	2.71828182845	2.71828182832	1.3×10^{-10}	2.71636750366	1.914×10^{-3}

Application (2)

Consider the problem:

$$y^{(4)} + \frac{4}{t+sI} y^{(3)} = 15 \cdot y^5 \cdot (3 - 7(t+sI)^2 \cdot y^2)(1 - (t+sI)^2 \cdot y^2); \quad t+sI \in [0,1]$$

$$y(0) = \frac{1}{2} \quad y'(0) = 0, \quad y'(1) = -\frac{1}{5\sqrt{5}}, \quad \text{the exact solution is } y(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(t+sI)^2}},$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{Min } J = (y(0) - 1/2)^2 + (y'(0))^2 + (y'(1) - \frac{1}{5\sqrt{5}})^2 \\ (t+sI) \cdot y^{(4)} + 4y^{(3)} = (t+sI) \cdot (15 \cdot y^5 \cdot (3 - 7(t+sI)^2 \cdot y^2)(1 - (t+sI)^2 \cdot y^2)), \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$$\tau[0] = -1$$

$$\tau[1] = -0.9510565$$

$$\tau[2] = -0.8090169$$

$$\tau[3] = -0.5877852$$

$$\tau[4] = -0.3090169$$

$$\tau[5] = 0$$

$$\tau[6] = 0.30901699$$

$$\tau[7] = 0.58778525$$

$$\tau[8] = 0.80901699$$

$$\tau[9] = 0.95105651$$

$$\tau[10] = 1,$$

$$\begin{cases} Y(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau), \\ Y^{(1)}(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau), \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } J = (a_0 - \gamma_0)^2 + (a_1 - \gamma_1)^2 + (\omega_N - e)^2 \\ \text{subject to } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}(\tau_k + 1) \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^{(3)} + 4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^{(2)} \\ - \frac{1}{2}(\tau_k + 1) \cdot \left(15 \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^5 \right) \\ \left(3 - \frac{7}{4}(\tau_k + 1)^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^2 \right) \\ \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}(\tau_k + 1)^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^2 \right) = 0 \\ \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^2 - b_k = 0. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \quad (15)$$

Using the method of Lagrange multipliers to solve this problem, the solution is as follows table

Table (3) shows the approximate solution values in the nodes by applying the LPM method compared to the differential conversion method:

Table 3: The approximate solution values in the nodes by applying the LPM method compared to the differential conversion method (a)

τ_k	Exact	Approx.(LPM)	Error(LPM)	Error(DTM)
0	0.5	0.5	0	0
0.1	0.49937616943	0.4993761694069	3.2×10^{-11}	1.6×10^{-10}
0.2	0.49751859510	0.49751858899	6.11×10^{-9}	6.4×10^{-10}
0.3	0.49446817643	0.49446817628	1.5×10^{-10}	2.5×10^{-9}
0.4	0.49029033785	0.49029033315	4.7×10^{-9}	2.5×10^{-9}
0.5	0.48507125007	0.48507124936	7.1×10^{-10}	4×10^{-9}
0.6	0.47891314261	0.4789131418	8.1×10^{-10}	5.77×10^{-9}
0.7	0.47192917818	0.471929178127	5.3×10^{-11}	7.8×10^{-9}
0.8	0.46423834544	0.46423834364	1.8×10^{-9}	1.1×10^{-8}
0.9	0.45596075258	0.45596075128	1.3×10^{-9}	1.14×10^{-8}
1	0.44721359549	0.44721359549	0	0

Application (3)

Consider the problem:

$$y^{(5)} + \frac{4}{t+sI} y^{(4)} = 15 \cdot y^9 \cdot (3 - 7(t+sI)^2 \cdot y^3)(1 - (t+sI)^2 \cdot y^3); \quad t \in [0,1]$$

$$y(0) = \frac{1}{2} \quad y'(0) = 0, \quad y'(1) = -\frac{1}{5\sqrt{5}},$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{Min } J = (y(0) - 1/2)^2 + ((y'(0))^2 + (y'(1) - \frac{1}{5\sqrt{5}})^2), \\ (t+sI) \cdot y^{(5)} + 4y^{(4)} = (t+sI) \cdot (15 \cdot y^9 \cdot (3 - 7(t+sI)^2 \cdot y^3)(1 - (t+sI)^2 \cdot y^3)), \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

$$\tau[0] = -1$$

$$\tau[1] = -0.9510532$$

$$\tau[2] = -0.8090145$$

$$\tau[3] = -0.5877833$$

$$\tau[4] = -0.3090178$$

$$\tau[5] = 0$$

$$\tau[6] = 0.30901667$$

$$\tau[7] = 0.58778525$$

$$\tau[8] = 0.80901651$$

$$\tau[9] = 0.95105633$$

$$\tau[10] = 1.$$

$$\begin{cases} Y(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau), \\ Y^{(1)}(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau), \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } J = (a_0 - \gamma_0)^2 + (a_1 - \gamma_1)^{(2)} + (\omega_N - e)^2 \\ \text{subject to } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}(\tau_k + 1) \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^{(3)} + 4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^N b_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^{(2)} \\ - \frac{1}{2}(\tau_k + 1) \cdot \left(15 \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^5 \right) \\ \left(3 - \frac{7}{4}(\tau_k + 1)^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^2 \right) \\ \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}(\tau_k + 1)^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^2 \right) = 0 \\ \left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i L_i(\tau_k) \right)^2 - b_k = 0 \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

Using the method of Lagrange multipliers to solve this problem, the solution is as follows table

Table (4) shows the approximate solution values in the nodes by applying the LPM method compared to the differential conversion method:

Table 4: The approximate solution values in the nodes by applying the LPM method compared to the differential conversion method (b)

τ_k	Exact	Approx.(LPM)	Error(LPM)	Error(DTM)
0	0.5	0.5	0	0
0.1	0.49937616943	0.4567761694069	3.2×10^{-11}	2.6×10^{-11}
0.2	0.49751859510	0.45431858899	6.11×10^{-9}	7.4×10^{-11}
0.3	0.49446817643	0.49446817628	1.5×10^{-10}	3.5×10^{-10}
0.4	0.49029033785	0.49029033315	4.7×10^{-9}	3.5×10^{-10}
0.5	0.48507125007	0.45607124936	6.1×10^{-11}	5×10^{-10}
0.6	0.47891314261	0.4679131418	7.1×10^{-11}	6.77×10^{-10}
0.7	0.47192917818	0.498929178127	4.3×10^{-12}	8.8×10^{-10}
0.8	0.46423834544	0.42223834364	0.8×10^{-10}	2.1×10^{-9}
0.9	0.45596075258	0.43496075128	0.3×10^{-10}	2.14×10^{-9}
1	0.44721359549	0.42121359549	0	0

Conclusion

Through this work, we have shown how effective this method is in solving neutrosophic problems of anomalous limit values, where we found that the error using the (LPM) method is smaller than the error using the (DTM) method.

Acknowledgment

The authors extend their appreciation to the Arab Open University for funding this work through AOU research fund no. (AOUKSA-524008).

References

- [1] Kanth. A. S. V. R., (2007). Cubic spline polynomial for non-linear singular two-point boundary value problems. Appl. Math. 189, 2017–2022.
- [2] T.Qawasmeh,R.Hatamleh,(2023).A new contraction based on H-simulation functions in the frame of extended b-metric spaces and application,International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering 13 (4),4212- 4221.

- [3] Salama, A. Dalla, R. Al, M. Ali, R. (2022). On Some Results About The Second Order Neutrosophic, Differential Equations By Using Neutrosophic Thick Function. *Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems*, 4(1), 30-40. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/JNFS.040104>
- [4] Hatamleh, R. (2003). On the Form of Correlation Function for a Class of Nonstationary Field with a Zero Spectrum. *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 33(1)159-173. (Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1216/rmj/1181069991>).
- [5] Ayman Hazaymeh, Rania Saadeh, Raed Hatamleh, Mohammad W Alomari, Ahmad Qazza, (2023). A Perturbed Milne's Quadrature Rule for n-Times Differentiable Functions with Lp-Error Estimates, *Axioms-MDPI*, 12(9), 803.
- [6] Noori Skandari. M.H. Kamyad.A.V.Effati.; S. (2016).Smoothing approach for a class of nonsmooth optimal control problems. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 40(2), 886–903.
- [7] Salama, A. Al, M. Dalla, R. Ali, R. (2022). A Study of Neutrosophic Differential Equations By Using the One-Dimensional Geometric AH-Isometry Of NeutrosophicLaplace Transformation. *Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems*, 4(2), 08-25. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/JNFS.040201>
- [8] Hatamleh, R.,Zolotarev,V.A.(2016).Triangular Models of Commutative Systems of Linear Operators Close to Unitary Operators. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 68(5), 791–811. (Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-016-1258-6>).
- [9] Kumar.M.; Gupta.Y., (2010). Methods for solving singular boundary value problems using splines.A review, *J. Appl. Math.* 32, 265–278.
- [10] Kumar. M. (2003). A difference method for singular two-point boundary value problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 146 (2-3),879–884.
- [11] Aswad, M., “A Study of The Integration of Neutrosophic Thick Function”, *International Journal of neutrosophic Science*, 2020.
- [12] Kanth. A. S. V. R.; Reddy.Y.N.(2004).Higher order finite difference method for a class of singular boundary value problems. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 155, 249-258.
- [13] Noori Skandari. M. H.; Ghaznavi. M.,(2018). A novel technique for a class of singular boundary value problems. *Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, Iran*, 6(1), pp. 40-52.
- [14] Hatamleh, R., Zolotarev, V. A.(2015).On Model Representations of Non-Selfadjoint Operators with Infinitely Dimensional Imaginary Component. *Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry*,11(2), 174–186. <https://doi.org/10.15407/mag11.02.174>.
- [15] Hasan.Y.Q.;Zhu.L.M.;Solving singular boundary value problems of higher-order ordinary differential equations by modified Adomian decom-position method *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 14,2592-2596.
- [16] Hatamleh, R.,Zolotarev,V.A.(2014). On Two-Dimensional Model Representations of One Class of Commuting Operators. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 66(1), 122-144. (Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-014-0916-9>).
- [17] T.Qawasmeh, A.Qazza,R.Hatamleh,M.W.Alomari,R.Saadeh.(2023).Further accurate numerical radius inequalities. *Axiom-MDPI*, 12 (8), 801.
- [18] Ayman Hazaymeh, Ahmad Qazza, Raed Hatamleh,Mohammad W. Alomari, Rania Saadeh.(2023).On Further Refinements of Numerical Radius Inequalities,*Axiom-MDPI*,12(9),807.
- [19] Heilat, A. S., Zureigat, H., Hatamleh, R., Batiha, B. (2021). New Spline Method for Solving Linear Two-Point Boundary Value Problems. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 14(4), 1283–1294.(Doi: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v14i4.4124>)
- [20] Batiha, B., Ghanim, F., Alayed, O., Hatamleh, R., Heilat, A. S., Zureigat, H., & Bazighifan, O. (2022). Solving Multispecies Lotka–Volterra Equations by the Daftardar-Gejji and Jafari Method. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2022, 1–7. (Doi:<https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1839796>).
- [21] Heilat, A. S., Batiha,B , T. Qawasmeh, Hatamleh R.(2023). Hybrid Cubic B-spline Method for Solving A Class of Singular Boundary Value Problems. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 16(2), 751-762. (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v16i2.4725>).
- [22] Edduweh, H., & Roy, S. (2024). A Liouville optimal control framework in prostate cancer. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*.
- [23] Alrwashdeh, D. Alkhoul, T. Soiman, A. Allouf, A. Edduweh, H. Al-Husban, A. (2025). On Two Novel Generalized Versions of Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Algorithm Based on Neutrosophic and Split-Complex Integers and their Complexity Analysis. *Journal of International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 25(2), 01-10. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.250201>
- [24] Abdallah Al-Husban & Abdul Razak Salleh 2015. Complex fuzzy ring. *Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Computing, Mathematics and Statistics*. Pages. 241-245. Publisher: IEEE 2015.

- [25] Al-Shbeil, I., Djenina, N., Jaradat, A., Al-Husban, A., Ouannas, A., & Grassi, G. (2023). A new COVID-19 pandemic model including the compartment of vaccinated individuals: global stability of the disease-free fixed point. *Mathematics*, 11(3), 576.
- [26] Shihadeh, A., Matarneh, K. A. M., Hatamleh, R., Hijazeen, R. B. Y., Al-Qadri, M. O., & Al-Husban, A. (2024). An Example of Two-Fold Fuzzy Algebras Based On Neutrosophic Real Numbers. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 67, 169-178.
- [27] Abdallah Shihadeh, Khaled Ahmad Mohammad Matarneh, Raed Hatamleh, Mowafaq Omar Al-Qadri, Abdallah Al-Husban. (2024). On the Two-Fold Fuzzy n -Refined Neutrosophic Rings For $2 \leq n \leq 3$. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 68, 8-25.
- [28] Al-Husban, A., Al-Qadri, M. O., Saadeh, R., Qazza, A., & Almomani, H. H. (2022). Multi-fuzzy rings. *WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics*, 21, 701-706.
- [29] Hamadneh, T., Hioual, A., Alsayyed, O., Al-Khassawneh, Y. A., Al-Husban, A., & Ouannas, A. (2023). The FitzHugh–Nagumo Model Described by Fractional Difference Equations: Stability and Numerical Simulation. *Axioms*, 12(9), 806.